G.R.S. Ex—3]

Previous references.
Mil/61 – 28 1-7.

192
ml

File No. 52 – 23
Serial Nos. 247

Later references:
Mil 173 – 27 also 6, 7, 18 etc

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. two

Part B for 247 october 23

SUBJECT

Construction of a Clock tower in the Bangalore city market frontage

8127 To the President, city municipal Council Bangalore

L 2941 B 26.10.23

Sir, I am directed to state that the proposal for the construction of a clock tower in the Bangalore city market frontage may be dropped as the necessary

Asst Director 29.11.23

G. R. S. 11] 1928-29

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( Rural )

NOTES.

File No. 54-28

FILE HEADING.

Construction of a shed for cows in the Cattle Bangalore city Market premises

From

The President, Bangalore City Municipal Council.

No. 78/C.J Dated 29. 8. 28 Received 1. 9. 28.

| Diary No. 1891 | Enclos. 4 | Spare Copies. | Serial No. 2 |
|---|---|---|---|

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits a scheme for construction of Municipal Council working in front of encircling a vacant shed there in the City Cattle Market premises, for proper Construction and Market Cattle in the Cattle Shed to be removed from the Towers in the Central Block, & requisitioned allocation in the Budget Estimates and the architectural treatment of the Building to harmonise with the surroundings Requests (1) Grant the charge for Rs. 2,000 and (2) to accept for the — for construction of a suitable design and (2) the sanction to the additional grant of Rs 2,000/- for the Construction of the Cattle Market premises.

NOTES AND ORDERS

In G.O. No. 1937-40/RL 161-20-7 dt 25th Sept 1928 an estimate amounting to Rs 1,20,820/- was

Notes—First Sheet

G. P. S.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | R[ File No. 54 of 1923

Serial No.

was sanctioned for the construction of an ornamental frontage to the new General market.

2 The sanctioned plan and elevation of the said frontage are based on the design of Mr. Hinchley, Architectural Expert of Bombay and provide for two Central towers. The above Precedent states that the construction of these 2 Central towers will have to be given up if the proposed clock tower is constructed. This involves a substantial alteration in the front to the building.

3 The points for orders now are:-

1) that the Chief Engr. for Roads & Buildings may be asked to prepare a design for the clock tower and

2) that an additional sum of Rs 20,000/- may be granted for the proposed tower and the purchase of the clock.

4 If approved, a copy of the Precedent letter may be sent to the Chief Engr. for Roads and Buildings for the preparation of a suitable design for the clock tower and also an estimate

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Genl. and Revenue Secretariat. | Rl File No. 54 of 19 23

Serial No.

of the last Memo.
Rl
19.9.23
& Rev: Sec:)

CJB
21.9.23

6 The first question is whether a clock tower is necessary. The additional expenditure involved, according to a rough calculation made Resident is Rs 20,000 if the Construction is sanctioned, Govt will have to bear 2/3 of this sum or such other larger sum that may be fixed.

Further question in the recommendation of the President is approved, the Chief Engineer may be asked to prepare plan and an estimate.

Sd/ 21/9/23

CJB 22.9.23

Sd Mackwell Tam 22.9.23

Secretary. 22.9

24/9/23

9 sheets CJB 25/9/23

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Rec'd on SEP 22 1923
Reg. No. 1972

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(4

G. R. S. III-2]

| General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 54 of 1923 |
|---|---|

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| | to draft is subd for appl. |
| | 30.9.23 |
| Rect 1/10 | L & L Secretary / |
| | 2.10.23. |
| | 3/10 |
| | 5.10 |
| 7 | |
| | 20 |
| | The President of Rock B. Corp |
| | Bd L 2941 of 26-10-23 |
| | States that the question of erecting a |
| | Clock tower in the market frontage |
| | may be deferred for the present. |
| 6 | |

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

15

[redacted]

No. 78/C.9.
1 memorandum
1 copy of Resolution
2 Plans (blue prints)

[redacted]CE OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,

Bangalore City, dated 27th August 1923.
31

Z 1191-23

*From*

ANANDA RAO SIRSI, ESQ., B. A., LL. B.,
*President, Municipal Council,*
BANGALORE CITY. MC 54-23-2

[redacted] L
1 SEP [redacted]

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In inviting the kind reference of Government to their order No.L.1937-40 Ml.161-20-7 dated 28th September 1921, sanctioning an estimate amounting to Rs.1,20,820 for the construction of the frontage of the New General Market, I have the honor to state that the Municipal Council, at the meeting held on 7th July 1923, have unanimously voted in favor of erecting a decent clock tower worthy of the City, in the City Market frontage, now under construction for the benefit of the public. As there is no clock tower in this part of the City, the clock to be installed would be very useful to a large section of the people and will also add to the appearance of the Market, as in the Civil and Military Station.

2. The sanctioned plan and the elevation of the frontage are mainly based on the design of Mr. Fritchley, Architect, Bombay and provide for 2 central towers, as may be seen from the plan. Only a few details were altered by City Improvement Committee. Vide copy of a memorandum in the matter herewith submitted. If a clock tower is to replace the 2 towers in the central block, a substantial alteration in the foundation and architectural treatment of the building is necessary. I therefore request that Government may be pleased to ask the Chief Engineer for roads and buildings to arrange for the

preparation of a suitable design.

3. As regards the cost, I think on a very rough estimate and subject to revision, an additional sum of about Rs.20,000 will have to be provided for strengthening the foundations on which the clock tower has to be built, for constructing the tower, and for the purchase of the clock etc. As the Government have kindly agreed to contribute 2/3 of the cost of the New General Market, I am to request you to be so good as to obtain the administrative sanction of the Government for the grant of an additional sum of Rs.20,000/- under this head.

4. The favor of early orders on points raised in paras 2 and 3 is requested, as the work has to be immediately taken on hand and pushed through as rapidly as possible.

5. A copy of the Municipal Council's resolution together with the sanctioned plan and elevation of the building is herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

President.

T.R.C.

Memorandum regarding the design, plans and estimate of the Market frontage of the New Market.

---

1. Resolution of City Improvement Committee dated 4th October 1917 to entrust the preparation of the design of the Market frontage to Mr. Fritchley, Architect, Bomaby.

2. Government order No.2894-6 M1.37-17-8 dated 23rd November 1917 sanctioning the above City Improvement Committee's proposal.

3. Mr. Fritchley submitted his sketch plans on 5th February 1918 and they were scrutinized by the Committee on 9th May 1918 with the following observations.

Market frontage

The design prepared by Mr. Fritchley was examined as also the suggestions that had been made by Mr. J.E.A. D'Cruz and the following decision was arrived at:-

This is adopted in final design with slight alteration.

(1) The design for the main gate be approved as prepared by Mr. Fritchley.

This is adopted

(2) Instead of a partitioned corridor in front of the shop there should be an arcade with its floor level 8" higher than the road level and 1' - 4" lower than shop floor level.

--do--

(3) Steps of shops not to project into the arcade but to be within the line of the front wall of shops.

--do--

(4) The ceiling of the arcade and shops to be at the same level.

--do--

(5) Weather-shades in front of shops to be omitted as unnecessary.

--do--

(6) Shop doors to be 6' wide.

4. Mr. Fritchley took away with him the sketch plans for modification on lines indicated by the Committee.

5. Mr. Fritchley on 11th June 1918, sent 8' scale plans marked $A_1$, $A_2$, $A_3$, $A_4$, and an estimate for Rs.1,73,644/- on 13th July 1918.

6. The following note was prepared by Mr. D'Cruz on the designs of Mr. Fritchley.

This suggestion is adopted in the final design.

1. The facade is to be of stone not brick. The pillars in the arcade are Ionic. I submit the caps may be plainer, and instead of pillars, piers with pillasters may be more suitable.

This is adopted with slight alteration vide subsequent opinion of the Committee.

2. The verandah is 7' wide and the arcade is 13' high at the crown of the arches--to be a better protection for the public, the semicircular portions may be venetioned with Cuddappah slabs. There would be then an opening of 9' x 6½'.

Arches made segmental in final design as per subsequent suggestion of the Committee.

Provided in final design.

3. The main entrance requires an ornamental gate to shut the market at nights.

4. In the arcade, the arches are semicircular. Mr. Fritchley's opinion may be further taken as to whether they should not be flat or segmental as originally designed by him and more in keeping with the style of Town Hall and Municipal Offices. I can find nothing else to bring to your notice. The plans are quite good.

Segmental arch has been adopted.

7. The subject came up for discussion before City Improvement Committee on 16th July 1918 when the following observations were made.

The several points mentioned in the note prepared by the Executive Engineer, City Drainage Project, are important and may be referred to Mr. Fritchley for consideration and effecting such modifications in the design as he may ~~not~~ deem necessary for keeping down the estimated cost at rupees one lakh.

8. Mr. Fritchley came to Bangalore on the 17th July 1918 and agreed to revise the estimate on the lines indicated by the Committee and submitted part sketches of the revised plans on 25th July 1918. Plans B.

9. Mr. D'Cruz submitted a note on the above plan on 10th August 1918 as follows:--

Market frontage

I have the honor to submit a modified plan which would, I believe, be more economical to adopt where piers for pillars have been substituted and a terrace instead of a tiled roof adopted so that at any future time a light upper storey may be added.

Adopted in final design.

To reduce the scantling of beams spanning 20', pillars have been inserted midway to make the span 10 feet only. This may conveniently be done because the partition walls run along under the beams. Foundations also have been reduced in depth.

Adopted

10. On 23rd August 1918 the City Improvement Committee met and approved of suggestions contained in Mr. D'Cruz's note above and wanted them to be communicated to Mr. Fritchley for adoption.

11. Mr. Fritchley objected in his letter dated 28th August 1918 that broad piers in the verandah provided by Mr. D'Cruz would cut off light to the shops whereas the space between these pillars in his design would admit more light.

12. On 2nd September 1918 the City Improvement Committee met and approved of the broad piers in Mr. D'Cruz's design as they thought that sufficient light was admitted through front door and the view communicated to Mr. Fritchley.

13. On 7th October 1918 the question of roof came up before the Committee. They however left it for the decision of the Engineering Members, whose decision to have a pucka terraced roof in place of concrete on corrugated sheet proposed by Mr. Fritchley, was approved by the Committee in their subsequent meeting on 11th November 1918.

14. Mr. Fritchley did not take any further steps in the matter and his services terminated in August 1918. The designs prepared afresh by Mr. D'Cruz were submitted on the 30th June 1920.

15. On 10th July 1920 the Committee considered these plans and decided that they may be revised as follows:--

> Mr. D'Cruz's plan should be altered keeping to the facade provided in Mr. Fritchley's revised plan (B) but adopting the internal arrangements as per Mr. D'Cruz's suggestions already approved.
>
> The design of the central tower to be modified as per Mr. Fritchley's plan ($A_2$) but the treatment of the 2nd floors should conform exactly to the design of the 1st floor of the end towers.

The centre half to be according to Mr. Fritchley's revised plan (B) but a door to be placed where a window is shewn.

16. The revised plans and estimate for Rs.1,16,000/- were finally approved by the Committee on 9th October 1920 and by the Municipal Council on 6th November 1920.

Sd. K.P. Puttanna Chetty

Chairman,
City Improvement Committee,
Bangalore.

"COPY"

President,
City Municipal Council,
Bangalore.

T.R.C.

PROCEEDINGS OF AN ORDINARY GENERAL MEETING OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, BANGALORE, HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER AT 11 A.M. ON SATURDAY THE TEN JULY

1 9 2 3.

---

PRESENT:

1. Ananda Rao S.Sirsi, Esq., B.A., LL.B., (President).

COUNCILLORS.

C.V.Narasimha Iyengar, B.A., B.L.,
B.V.Krishnaiyengar,
Nyakala Nallaiyya,
* P.M.Boyappa,
* B.V.Ramaswami Chetty, B.A.,
* M.Gopalaswami Iyer,
* S.Venkatachala Iyengar, B.A., B.L.,
Mr. C.Nayavadama [illegible], B.A., B.L.,
" rishnappa, [illegible].,
10. " H.V.Chendrashekharaiah, B.A.,L.L.B.
11. " M.Raghavendra Rao,
12. " J.R.Isaac, B.A., M.B.
13. " Ahamed Abbas Khan,
14. " .Venkataranga Iyengar,

15. Rev. F. W. Thorp, B.A.,

ABSENT.

Mr. B. Chinnaswami Chetty,
* W.H.Hanumanthappa,
* H.K.Garudachar,
* M.Ramachandra Rao Scindia,
* G.Siddaveerappa,
* D.Adeppa,
* T.Muniswamappa,
Mr. .Venkataroma Iyer, B.A.,
9. " P.Subbaramashetti,
10. " P.Murugesam Pillai,
11. Rao Sahib Mr. V.Chennaiyya,
12. Mr. F.Abdur Rahman, B.A.,
13. " J. .Narasinga, Esq.,
14. " V. .Tiruvengadaswami Mudaliar.

---

XX XX XX

Subject No.3. Letter dated 5th May 1923 from Mr. Mohamed Abbas Khan proposing to move the following propositions:--

(1) X X
(2) X X
(3) "This Council resolves that necessary steps be taken to put up a clock tower on the proposed "Market Frontage" as one is necessary in that locality not only as an ornament to that part of the City but also as a requirement in consideration of the varied impertance of it and the large number of people passing to and fro for whom time is precious."

Resolution:-- The proposition was carried unanimously as the necessity of a clock tower in that part of the City is keenly felt by the public.

8.

SUBJECT .....

4/10

Diary No. of letter replied to £1191

General and Revenue Secretariat
( L.S.G. )
, the 192 .

Section RC
File No. 54-23
Serial No. 7

No. £ 2941

Date of issue 26.10.23 To

Signed by W. Carr

The President,
City Municipal Council,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 75/C.I dt the 29th August 1923 in the matter of creating a decent clock tower in the city, Avenue Road frontage now under construction for the benefit of the public, I am directed to state that ~~on account of the present financial stringency~~ the question of creating a clock tower in the Avenue Road frontage may be deferred for the present

I have the

30.9.23

2.10.23 for Secy.

WD 0632 - GPB—1800—15-9-23